## ASSESSMENT OF STRATEGIC PLAN- EVALUATION RUBRIC

## **DEPARTMENT:**

		EXEMPLARY	ACCEPTABLE	DEVELOPING	No Evidence	COMMENTS
1. Assessi	MENT <b>M</b> EASURES	<ul> <li>Multiple measures for all objectives</li> <li>Direct and indirect measures are used, with at least one direct measure for each objective.</li> <li>Assessment instruments/tools are clearly described (and attached, as appropriate).</li> <li>Assessment instruments/tools reflect sound methodology and are relevant to the outcome.</li> <li>Measures are purposeful; it is clear how results could be used for program improvement.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>At least two measures are used for each objective: one direct and one indirect measure.</li> <li>Assessment tools and methodology are described.</li> <li>Assessment instruments/tools reflect sound methodology and are relevant to the objective.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Not all objectives have at least two measures.</li> <li>Few direct measures are used.</li> <li>Assessment tools are vague or not defined.</li> <li>Measurement instruments have not been clearly described.</li> </ul>	No assessment measures identified	
2. TARGETS	s/Benchmarks	<ul> <li>Targets are clearly defined for each measure.</li> <li>Targets are realistic and sufficiently challenging.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Targets defined for each measure but may not be set at effective levels.</li> <li>Targets are realistic.</li> </ul>	Targets not defined for each measure.	No targets defined for any of the measures	
3. Assessi	MENT RESULTS	<ul> <li>Complete, concise and well-organized.</li> <li>Appropriate data collection/analysis.</li> <li>Align with the language of the corresponding achievement target.</li> <li>Provide solid evidence that targets were met, partially met, or not met.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Complete and organized.</li> <li>Aligned with the language of the corresponding achievement target.</li> <li>Address whether targets were met</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Incomplete or too much information.</li> <li>Not clearly aligned with achievement targets.</li> <li>Questionable conclusion about whether targets were met, partially met, or not met.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No assessment results provided</li> </ul>	
4. USE OF E	EVIDENCE	There is an explicit,     well-reasoned     connection between     the assessment results     and proposed changes.	There is an adequate connection between the assessment results and proposed changes.	The connection     between the     assessment results and     proposed changes are     unclear.	No use of evidence provided	
5. ACTION	ITEMS	Action items are logical	Action items are in	At least one action item	No action items in place	

and realistic	place, but may not be	is in place	No follow-up on last
<ul> <li>Completely addresses</li> </ul>	very logical or realistic.	Marginally addresses	year's action items.
all action items that	<ul> <li>Addresses most action</li> </ul>	most action items that	
were previously	items that were	were previously	
identified.	previously identified.	identified.	

## **OVERALL STRATEGIC REPORT FEEDBACK**

	Strategic Report Overall Feedback								
Acceptable O		Developing O		Comments					
	Clear, concise and well-organized results with solid evidence that targets were met, partially met, or not met.		Results are incomplete and/or not clearly aligned with achievement targets.						
	Evidence is explicit and clearly connected to the assessment results.		Evidence is unclear and/ or not related to the assessment results.						
	Action items are logical and realistic		At least one action item is in place.						