ACADEMIC ASSESSMENT PLAN AND REPORT – EVALUATION RUBRIC

DEPARTMENT:

	EXEMPLARY	ACCEPTABLE	DEVELOPING	NO EVIDENCE	COMMENTS
1. MISSION STATEMENT 2. LEARNING OUTCOMES	 Clear and concise Reflects mission of the university and/or department Describes purpose that is distinctive from other programs A reasonable number of department 	 Consistent with mission of the university and department Clear statement of program's purpose At least three and not 	 Fails to demonstrate alignment with university and/or department mission General statement of the purpose of the program Fewer than three 	 No mission statement available 	
	 program outcomes are stated (3 -10), which encompass the central principles of the discipline and focus on the cumulative effect of the program. Each outcome is observable and measurable. Learning outcomes clearly describe what students will know, think and be able to do upon completion of the requirement. Each outcome uses action verbs Outcomes clearly reinforce university learning outcomes, are discipline and program specific. Outcomes are well- written, clear, specific; no revision necessary. 	 more than 10 key learning outcomes are stated. At least two outcomes are assessed in the current year. Each outcome is observable and measurable. Learning outcomes describe what students will know, think and be able to do upon completion of the requirement. Each outcome uses action verbs. Outcomes are correctly identified as student learning outcomes. Outcomes relate to university learning outcomes. Outcomes, are discipline and program specific Language in some outcomes may need minimal revision. 	 outcomes are listed. Some key learning outcomes are stated but are unclear; over-specific; do not use action verbs; and/or do not describe what students will know, think, and be able to do upon completion of the requirement. Not clear how outcomes will be measured Outcomes fail to demonstrate alignment with University or School mission. Language needs substantial revision. 		
3. Assessment Measures	 Direct and indirect measures are used, with at least one direct measure for each outcome. Each assessment 	 Not all outcomes have at least two measures. Each assessment method matches the outcome being assessed and provides 	 Not all outcomes have at least two measures. Few or no direct measures are used. Only uses course grades for assessment 	 No assessment measures identified 	

4. Targets/Benchmarks	 method clearly matches the outcome being assessed and provides clear, truthful information about whether or not an outcome is being achieved. Assessment tools are clearly described and are relevant to the outcome. Assessment instruments/tools reflect sound methodology. Measures are purposeful; it is clear how results could be used for program improvement. Targets are clearly defined for each measure. 	 clear, truthful information about whether or not an outcome is being achieved. Assessment tools are described and are relevant to the outcome. Assessment instruments/tools reflect sound methodology. Targets defined for each measure but may not be set at effective levels. 	 In some cases, assessment methods do not match the outcome being measured or do not yield clear and truthful information. Assessment tools are vaguely described or are undeveloped. Targets not defined for each measure. 	 No targets defined for any of the measures 	
	 Targets are realistic and sufficiently challenging. 	Targets are realistic.			
5. Assessment Results	 Complete, concise and well-organized. Appropriate data collection/analysis. Align with the language of the corresponding achievement target. Provide solid evidence that targets were met, partially met, or not met. 	 Complete and organized. Aligned with the language of the corresponding achievement target. Address whether targets were met 	 Incomplete or too much information. Not clearly aligned with achievement targets. Questionable conclusion about whether targets were met, partially met, or not met. 	 No assessment results provided 	
6. Use of Evidence	 There is an explicit, well-reasoned connection between the assessment results and proposed changes. 	 There is an adequate connection between the assessment results and proposed changes. 	 The connection between the assessment results and proposed changes are unclear. 	 No use of evidence provided 	
7. Action Items	 Action items are logical and realistic Completely addresses all action items that were previously identified. 	 Action items are in place, but may not be very logical or realistic. Addresses most action items that were previously identified. 	 At least one action item is in place Marginally addresses most action items that were previously identified. 	 No action items in place No follow-up on last year's action items. 	

OVERALL ASSESSMENT PLAN & REPORT FEEDBACK

STRATEGIC PLAN OVERALL FEEDBACK							
Acceptable O	Developing O	Comments					
Mission statement is clearly described	Mission statement is not clearly described						
At least three outcomes are stated.	Fewer than three outcomes are stated.						
□ At least two assessment measures are described per outcome,	Fewer than two assessment measures are described per						
one of which is a direct measure.	outcome, and may not include a direct measure.						
Clear achievement targets are described for each outcome.	□ Achievement targets are unclear or not included for each						
	outcome.						
STRATEGIC REPORT OVERALL FEEDBACK							
Acceptable O	Developing O	Comments					
Clear, concise and well organized results with solid evidence	Results are incomplete and/or not clearly aligned with						
that targets were met, partially met, or not met.	achievement targets.						
Evidence is explicit and clearly connected to the assessment	Evidence is unclear and/ or not related to the						
results.	assessment results.						
Action items are logical and realistic	At least one action item is in place.						